1

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

1617

18 19

21

20

2223

2425

26

27

28

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Miguel Ramon Martinez,

Plaintiff,

v.

Commissioner of Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

No. CV-24-00556-TUC-JGZ (JEM)

ORDER

On June 10, 2025, Magistrate Judge James E. Marner issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") in which he recommended the Court reverse the Administrative Law Judge's decision and remand the matter to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. (Doc. 25.) The R&R notified the parties they had fourteen (14) days from the date of the R&R to file any objections. No objections have been filed.

If neither party objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, the District Court is not required to review the magistrate judge's decision under any specified standard of review. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). However, the statute for review of a magistrate judge's recommendation "does not preclude further review by the district judge, *sua sponte* or at the request of a party, under a *de novo* or any other standard." *Id.* at 154.

The Court has reviewed Judge Marner's R&R, the parties' briefs, and the record. The Court finds the R&R well-reasoned and agrees with Judge Marner's conclusion that

the Administrative Law Judge's decision should be reversed and remanded to the Commissioner for further proceedings.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 25) is accepted and adopted in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Administrative Law Judge's decision is **reversed** and **remanded** to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings consistent with this Order and Judge Marner's Report & Recommendation. (*See* Doc. 25 at 16.)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Clerk of Court shall docket this Order and close this action.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2025.

Jennifer G. Zipps

Chief United States District Judge